






























RoC Key Performance Indicators

Disposal of LLP eForms

Performance Metrics:

	Keep it Up
	Performance to be further improved
	Needs Improvement
	Bad Performance, All out efforts required

OFFICE	CARRY-OVER PENCY	INFLOW	WORKLOAD	DISPOSAL	DISPOSAL %	RANK	LAST WEEK'S RANK	CHANGE	REMARKS	
	<i>Pendency of the office as on 07.04.2017</i>	<i>Inflow of eForms during the week 08.04.2017-14.04.2017</i>	<i>Carry-over Pendency + Inflow</i>	<i>Disposal during the week 08.04.2017-14.04.2017</i>	<i>Disposal / Workload</i>					
RoC - Ahmedabad		34	317	351	219	62%	5	5	↔	Needs Improvement
RoC - Bangalore		358	241	599	211	35%	18	19	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chandigarh		17	53	70	39	56%	9	9	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chennai		196	94	290	106	37%	17	23	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chhattisgarh		6	9	15	11	73%	2	16	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Coimbatore		7	26	33	19	58%	7	8	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Cuttack		1	12	13	0	0%	23	2	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Delhi		760	551	1311	540	41%	16	20	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Ernakulam		148	73	221	21	10%	21	22	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Goa		6	18	24	16	67%	3	7	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Gwalior		19	62	81	47	58%	6	10	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Himachal Pradesh		1	2	3	2	67%	3	12	↑	Needs Improvement
RoC - Hyderabad		244	141	385	164	43%	15	24	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jaipur		84	127	211	111	53%	11	18	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jammu		2	0	2	1	50%	12	12	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jharkhand		3	11	14	0	0%	23	3	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kanpur		90	177	267	131	49%	13	11	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kolkata		134	250	384	203	53%	10	17	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Mumbai		1617	716	2333	581	25%	20	21	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Patna		6	26	32	2	6%	22	4	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Pondicherry		0	2	2	2	100%	1	1	↔	Keep it Up
RoC - Pune		177	216	393	115	29%	19	15	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Shillong		3	18	21	12	57%	8	6	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Uttarakhand		15	16	31	14	45%	14	12	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required

RoC / RD Key Performance Indicators

Disposal of Company eForms (FTE eForms not included)

Performance Metrics:

Keep it Up
Performance to be further improved
Needs Improvement
Bad Performance, All out efforts required

OFFICE	CARRY-OVER PENDING	INFLOW	WORKLOAD	DISPOSAL	DISPOSAL %	RANK	LAST WEEK'S RANK	CHANGE	REMARKS
	<i>Pendency of the office as on 07.04.2017</i>	<i>Inflow of eForms during the week 08.04.2017-14.04.2017</i>	<i>Carry-over Pendency + Inflow</i>	<i>Disposal during the week 08.04.2017-14.04.2017</i>	<i>Disposal / Workload</i>	👍			
Central Registration Centre	NA	7896	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Data Not Available
DIN CELL-HQDL	155	1630	1785	1446	81%	2	3	↑	Performance to be further improved
RD - Ahmedabad	59	31	90	11	12%	23	21	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Chennai	40	3	43	6	14%	20	28	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Guwahati	8	3	11	0	0%	30	32	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Hyderabad	62	16	78	1	1%	29	24	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Kolkata	499	30	529	0	0%	30	29	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - Mumbai	304	33	337	21	6%	28	31	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RD - North	742	21	763	80	10%	25	30	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Ahmedabad	369	322	691	229	33%	12	14	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Bangalore	1187	397	1584	849	54%	6	26	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chandigarh	428	70	498	82	16%	19	25	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chennai	521	220	741	100	13%	22	15	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Chhattisgarh	15	36	51	23	45%	7	7	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Coimbatore	34	73	107	76	71%	4	2	↓	Needs Improvement
RoC - Cuttack	6	72	78	67	86%	1	1	↔	Performance to be further improved
RoC - Delhi	2086	1204	3290	1276	39%	9	16	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Ernakulam	455	148	603	70	12%	24	20	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Goa	152	21	173	18	10%	26	6	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Gwalior	90	87	177	66	37%	10	11	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Himachal Pradesh	10	5	15	3	20%	18	8	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Hyderabad	969	312	1281	329	26%	15	23	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jaipur	316	113	429	135	31%	14	27	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jammu	59	6	65	9	14%	21	5	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Jharkhand	13	16	29	0	0%	30	10	ZERO DISPOSAL	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kanpur	432	170	602	148	25%	16	13	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Kolkata	416	558	974	425	44%	8	9	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Mumbai	2935	951	3886	1266	33%	13	19	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Patna	93	32	125	8	6%	27	22	↓	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Pondicherry	3	6	9	3	33%	11	12	↑	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Pune	292	189	481	109	23%	17	17	↔	Bad Performance, All out efforts required
RoC - Shillong	13	39	52	36	69%	5	4	↓	Needs Improvement
RoC - Uttarakhand	21	11	32	23	72%	3	18	↑	Needs Improvement